arXiv:1611.05671v1 [math.NT] 17 Nov 2016

# ON A SPECIAL CASE OF WATKINS' CONJECTURE

MATIJA KAZALICKI AND DANIEL KOHEN

Abstract. Watkins' conjecture asserts that for a rational elliptic curve $E$ the degree of the modular parametrization is divisible by $2^r$, where $r$ is the rank of $E$. In this paper we prove that if the modular degree is odd then $E$ has rank 0. Moreover, we prove that the conjecture holds for all rank two rational elliptic curves of prime conductor and positive discriminant.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Given a rational elliptic curve $E$ of conductor $N$, by the modularity theorem, there exists a morphism of a minimal degree

$$\phi : X_0(N) \to E,$$

that is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, where $X_0(N)$ is the classical modular curve. Its degree, denoted by $m_E$, is called the *modular degree*. While analyzing experimental data, Watkins conjectured that for an elliptic curve of rank $r$, $m_E$ is divisible by $2^r$ [8, Conjecture 4.1]. In particular, if the modular degree is odd, the rank should be zero; the proof of this assertion is the main result of this work.

The study of elliptic curves with odd modular degree was first developed in [1] by Calegari and Emerton, where they showed that a rational elliptic curve with odd modular degree has to satisfy a series of very restrictive hypotheses. For a detailed list of conditions see [1, Theorem 1.1]. Later, building on this work, Yazdani [7] studied abelian varieties having odd modular degree. As a by-product of his work, he proves that if a rational elliptic curve has odd modular degree then it has rank 0, except perhaps if it has prime conductor and even analytic rank (see [7, Theorem 3.8] for a more general statement). The main result of this paper is the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** *If $E/\mathbb{Q}$ is an elliptic curve of odd modular degree, then $E$ has rank 0.*

By the aforementioned results it is enough to restrict ourselves to the case where $E$ has prime conductor $p$ and even analytic rank. Moreover, it is clear that we can assume that the curve $E$ is the strong Weil curve, that is, the kernel of the map $J_0(p) \to E$ is connected ($J_0(p)$ is the Jacobian of $X_0(p)$).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11G05 , Secondary: 11F67.

*Key words and phrases.* Modular degree , Rank of elliptic curves.

MK was supported by the QuantiXLie Center of Excellence.

DK was partially supported by a CONICET doctoral fellowship.

The elliptic curve $E$ gives rise to a normalized newform $f_E \in S_2(\Gamma_0(p))$ by the modularity theorem. The main idea of the article is to associate to $f_E$ (or $E$) an element $v_E$ of the Picard group $\mathcal{X}$ of a certain curve $X$ (which is a disjoint union of curves of genus zero) as in [3]. More precisely, $\mathcal{X}$ can be described as the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of divisors supported on the isomorphism classes of supersingular elliptic curves over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$, denoted by $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$, where $n-1$ is the genus of $X_0(p)$. They are in bijection with the isomorphism classes of supersingular elliptic curves $E_i/\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$. The action of Hecke correspondences on $X$ induces an action on $\mathcal{X}$. There is a correspondence between modular forms of level $p$ and weight 2 and elements of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ that preserves the action of the Hecke operators ([3, Proposition 5.6]). Let $v_E = \sum v_E(e_i)e_i \in \mathcal{X}$ be an eigenvector for all Hecke operators $t_m$ corresponding to $f_E$, i.e. $t_m v_E = a(m)v_E$, where $f_E(\tau) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a(m)q^m$. We normalize $v_E$ (up to sign) such that the greatest common divisor of all its entries is 1. We define a $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{Z},$$

by requiring $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = w_i \delta_{i,j}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, where $w_i = \frac{1}{2}\#\mathrm{Aut}(E_i)$.

We have the following key result of Mestre that relates the norm of $v_E$ to the modular degree $m_E$.

**Proposition 1.2.** [6, Theorem 3]

$$\langle v_E, v_E \rangle = m_E t,$$

*where $t$ is the size of $E(\mathbb{Q})_{tors}$.*

The final ingredient we need is the Gross-Waldspurger formula on special values of $L$-series [3]. An alternative approach is to use Gross-Kudla formula for the special values of triple products of $L$-functions [4].

In [5], while studying supersingular zeros of divisor polynomials of elliptic curves, the authors posed the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.** *If $E$ is an elliptic curve of prime conductor $p$, root number 1, and $rank(E) > 0$, then $v_E(e_i)$ is an even number for all $e_i$ with $j(E_i) \in \mathbb{F}_p$.*

The conclusion of the conjecture holds for any elliptic curve curve $E/\mathbb{Q}$ of prime conductor and root number $-1$, as well as for any curve of prime conductor that has positive discriminant and no rational points of order 2 (see [5, Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.4]).

In the last paragraph of this paper we will show the connection between this conjecture and Watkins' conjecture:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve of prime conductor such that $rank(E) > 0$. If $v_E(e_i)$ is even number for all $e_i$ with $j(E_i) \in \mathbb{F}_p$, then $4|m_E$.*

In particular, as remarked before, this verifies Watkins' conjecture if $E$ has prime conductor, $disc(E) > 0$ and $rank(E) = 2$.

**Acknowledgments:** We would like to thank A. Dujella, I. Gusić, M. Mereb and F. Najman for their useful comments and suggestions.

## 2. Proof of the main Theorem

We will give a series of propositions that will allow us to prove Theorem 1.1.

**Proposition 2.1.** *If $E/\mathbb{Q}$ has non-zero rank, then $L(E,1)=0$.*

*Proof.* This is a classical application of Gross-Zagier and Kolyvagin theorems. For a reference see [2, Theorem 3.22]. □

**Proposition 2.2.** *If $E/\mathbb{Q}$ has prime conductor and non-zero rank, then $E(\mathbb{Q})_{tors}$ is trivial.*

*Proof.* This is a well known result; for example in [6] it is shown that the isogeny classes of rational elliptic curves with conductor $p$ and non-trivial rational torsion subgroup are either $11.a$, $17.a$, $19.a$ and $37.b$, or the so called Neumann-Setzer curves that have a 2-rational point. All these curves have rank 0; this follows from a classical 2-descent. □

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let $v_E=\sum_{i=1}^n v_E(e_i)e_i \in \mathcal{X}$ be the vector corresponding to $f_E$. We have that $\sum_{i=1}^n v_E(e_i)=0$.*

*Proof.* The vector $e_0=\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{e_i}{w_i}$ corresponds to the Eisenstein series. Moreover, the pairing $\langle -,-\rangle : \mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{X}\to\mathbb{Z}$ is compatible with the Hecke operators. Since the space of cuspforms is orthogonal to the Eisenstein series, we obtain

$$\langle v_E, e_0\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n v_E(e_i)=0.$$

□

**Proposition 2.4.** *If $p\equiv 1,5 \pmod{12}$, then all the $w_i$ are odd. On the other hand if $p\equiv 7,11 \pmod{12}$ there is exactly one even $w_k$ (and in fact $w_k=2$).*

*Proof.* See [3, Table 1.3 p. 117]. □

Given $-D$ a fundamental negative discriminant, Gross defines

$$b_D=\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{h_i(-D)}{u(-D)}e_i,$$

where $h_i(-D)$ is the number of optimal embeddings of the order of discriminant $-D$ into $End(E_i)$ modulo conjugation by $End(E_i)^\times$ and $u(-D)$ is the number of units of the order. We are in position to state (a special case of) Gross-Waldspurger formula [3, Proposition 13.5].

**Proposition 2.5.** *If $-D$ is a fundamental negative discriminant with $\left(\frac{-D}{p}\right)=-1$, then*

$$L(E,1)L(E\otimes\varepsilon_D,1)=\frac{(f_E,f_E)}{\sqrt{D}}\frac{{m_D}^2}{\langle v_E,v_E\rangle},$$

*where $\varepsilon_D$ is the quadratic character associated to $-D$, $(f_E,f_E)$ is the Petersson inner product on $\Gamma_0(p)$ and*

$$m_D=\langle v_E,b_D\rangle.$$

We will use the formula in the case that $-D=-4$ (and thus $p\equiv 3 \bmod 4$). We know that $u(-4)=4$ and $h_i=0$ unless, following the notation from Proposition 2.4, $i=k$. In that case it is easy to see that $h_k(-4)=2$. Combining these observations we obtain that $b_4=\frac{1}{2}e_k$ where $k$ is the only index such that $w_k=2$ (this corresponds to the elliptic curve $E_k$ with complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Z}[i]$).

Now we have the necessary ingredients in order to prove Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* As remarked in the introduction, it is enough to prove the theorem when $E$ has prime conductor $p$ and it is the strong Weil curve. Suppose on the contrary that $E$ has positive rank. In consequence, by Proposition 1.2 and Proposition 2.2 we know that $\langle v_E,v_E\rangle$ must be odd. Moreover,

$$\langle v_E,v_E\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n}w_i{v_E(e_i)}^2\equiv\sum_{i=1}^{n}w_iv_E(e_i)\pmod 2.$$

Using Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 we obtain that if $p\equiv 1,5 \pmod{12}$ $\langle v_E,v_E\rangle$ is even and if $p\equiv 7,11 \pmod{12}$ then $\langle v_E,v_E\rangle\equiv v_E(e_k) \pmod 2$, where $k\in\mathbb{N}$ is the only index such that $w_k=2$. In that case, since $L(E,1)=0$ (by Proposition 2.1), Proposition 2.5 implies that

$$m_4=\langle v_E,b_4\rangle=0.$$

Since $b_4=\frac{1}{2}e_k$, we get that

$$m_4=v_E(e_k)=0.$$

Therefore, $\langle v_E,v_E\rangle$ is even, leading to a contradiction. □

*Remark.* Another proof along the same lines uses that if $L(E,1)=0$ then

$$\sum_i {w_i}^2{v_E(e_i)}^3=0.$$

This is proved in [4, Corollary 11.5], as a consequence of the Gross-Kudla formula of special values of triple product $L$-functions. The number $\sum_i {w_i}^2v_E(e_i)^3$ clearly has the same parity as $\langle v_E,v_E\rangle$, leading to the desired contradiction.

## 3. The proof of the Theorem 1.3

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* For a given $e_i$, denote by $\bar{i} \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ the unique index such that $e_{\bar{i}}$ corresponds to the curve $E_i^p$. Then [3, Proposition 2.4] implies that $v(e_i) = v(e_{\bar{i}})$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have that $v_E(e_k) = 0$ whenever $w_k \neq 1$, hence Proposition 2.2 implies that

$$m_E \equiv \sum_i v_E(e_i)^2 \pmod 4.$$

If $E_i$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_p$ (i.e. $\bar{i} = i$), then by the assumption

$$v_E(e_i)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod 4.$$

Hence

$$m_E \equiv \sum_i{}' 2v_E(e_i)^2 \pmod 4,$$

where we sum over the pairs $\{i, \bar{i}\}$ with $i \neq \bar{i}$. Note that Gross-Kudla formula implies that

$$\sum_i v_E(e_i)^3 \equiv \sum_i{}' 2v_E(e_i) \equiv 0 \pmod 4,$$

where the second sum is over the pairs $\{i, \bar{i}\}$ for which $v_E(e_i)$ is odd. It follows that the number of such pairs is even, hence $m_E \equiv 0 \pmod 4$.

□

## References


[1] F. Calegari and M. Emerton, *Elliptic curves of odd modular degree*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **169** (2009), no.1, 417–444.

[2] H. Darmon, *Rational points on modular elliptic curves, volume 101 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC. (2004).

[3] B. Gross, *Heights and the Special Values of L-series*, Number Theory, CMS Conference Proceedings **7** (1987), 115–187.

[4] B.Gross and S. Kudla, *Heights and the central critical values of triple product L-functions*, Compositio Mathematica **81**,(1992) no. 2, 143–209.

[5] M. Kazalicki and D. Kohen, *Supersingular zeros of divisor polynomials of elliptic curves of prime conductor*, preprint

[6] J.F. Mestre, *La méthode des graphes. Exemples et applications*, In Proceedings of the international conference on class numbers and fundamental units of algebraic number fields (Katata), (1986) 217–242.

[7] S. Yazdani, *Modular abelian varieties of odd modular degree*, Algebra & Number Theory **5** (2011), no. 1, 37–62.

[8] M. Watkins, *Computing the Modular Degree of an Elliptic Curve*, Experiment. Math. **11** (2002), no. 4, 487–502.

Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička cesta 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
*E-mail address*: matija.kazalicki@math.hr

Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires and IMAS, CONICET, Argentina
*E-mail address*: dkohen@dm.uba.ar